# the improbable yet elementary case

## 

a mathematical paradigmatic mashup: Thomas Kuhn Vs map-territory Vs ...?

prefer the simplest explanation <sup>1</sup>

consider all mathematics as pseudo-mathematics; a means for a novice mathematician to express ideas in less time and fewer words than a similarly novice writer might, in prose. all terms are tentative. corrections  $\land \lor$  advice, welcome.

. . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>which works

### 1 map territory delta, fit

(  $MT\Delta$  , MTf ) delta refers to difference, or required change

 $a \ map \ is \ a \ representational \ account \ of \ territory; \ however, \ not \ all \ maps \ resolve \ territory \ to \ representational \\ account \ equally \ well$ 

$$M \mapsto T$$
,  $M \not\equiv T$ ,  $M \not\approx T$ 

Specifically, where two paradigms Pa, attempt to resolve r() approximately the same phenomenal scope  $Sp_1$ , two paradigms  $Pa_{1,2}$ , may interpret and represent differently:

$$Pa_1(Sp_1) \rightarrow Pa_1$$
,  $Pa_2(Sp_1) \rightarrow Pa_2$   
 $Pa_1 \not\approx Pa_2$   
 $|Pa_1| \not\approx |Pa_2|$   
 $Pa_1 \cap Pa_2 \approx \varnothing$   
 $Pa_1 \neq Pa_2$ 

#### 1.1 map territory delta

 $(MT\Delta)$ 

the difference between map and respective territory

$$M_T \setminus M = M^{\mathcal{AC}}$$

#### 1.2 map territory fit

(MTf)